# Transportation Land Development Environmental <br> Services 

# VHB Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc 

## Memorandum

To: Al Ziedins c/o CPC-T Holdings, LLC
1601 Trapelo Road, Suite 280
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Project No.: 12109.00

From: Matt Kealey, P.E., PTOE
Project Manager

Re: Proposed Residential Development Somerville, Massachusetts

## INTRODUCTION

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB), on behalf of CPC-T Holdings, LLC (Proponent), has reviewed the potential traffic impacts associated with the proposed residential development to be located at the corner of Mystic Avenue and the Stop \& Shop Supermarket Driveway in Somerville, Massachusetts. The proposed development involves the construction of a total of 75 apartment units. Access to the site is proposed via a single driveway within the Stop \& Shop parking lot.

In January 2013, a full traffic impact and access study (TIAS) was conducted for a previously proposed project on the site, which included construction of 121 apartment units. The previous traffic study evaluated existing conditions, projected future traffic conditions, and identified potential impacts within the study area. The study concluded that the proposed project would have no significant impacts on traffic operations at the study area intersections. For reference, the January 2013 TIAS has been included as an attachment to this memorandum. To support the current proposal of 75 units on the site, the following memorandum provides a summary of the January 2013 TIAS as well as a summary of future traffic projections for the proposed project.

## JANUARY 2013 TIAS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following text provides a summary of the key components that were included in the January 2013 TIAS.

## Study Area Intersections

- Mystic Avenue at Stop \& Shop Driveway
- Garfield Avenue at Blakeley Avenue
- Proposed Site Driveway at Stop \& Shop Driveway


## Existing Traffic Volumes

48-hour daily traffic volumes were collected on Mystic Avenue west of the Stop \& Shop Driveway on December 5 and 6, 2012 (Wednesday and Thursday) using automatic traffic recorders (ATR). These dates represent typical weekdays for traffic count purposes (non-holidays while schools are in session). Concurrent with the ATR counts, turning movements counts (TMCs) were conducted at each of the study area intersections in December 2012 during the weekday morning peak period
from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and the evening peak period from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. The TMC data indicates that, within the study area, the weekday morning peak hour generally occurs between 8:00 AM and 9:00 AM and the weekday evening peak hour occurs between 5:00 PM and 6:00 PM. The TMC and ATR data are provided in the Attachments.

## Crash History

No crashes were reported at the study area intersections during the three-year study period evaluated in the TIAS.

## Public Transportation

Within a half mile of the site, there are five different bus routes providing a total of 26 bus stops. Collectively, these bus routes provide riders with the ability to connect to the MBTA Green Line, Orange Line, and Red Line.

## Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

The previously proposed development (121 units) was estimated to generate about 850 new daily trips. Of this total, it was estimated that about 63 site-generated trips ( 13 enter $/ 50$ exit) would occur during the morning peak hour and about 83 trips ( 54 enter/ 29 exit) during the evening peak hour. It should be noted that these trip generation projections do not include any trip credits for the proximity of the site to public transit routes. The location of the site is very accessible to/from public transportation which will reduce residents' dependence on automobiles. As such, the traffic projections very likely overstate the site-generated vehicular traffic resulting from the proposed project.

## Trip Distribution

The site-generated traffic volumes were assigned to the roadway network based on U.S. Census Journey-to-Work data for the City of Somerville, and added to the No-Build traffic volumes to develop the peak hour Build traffic volume networks. The trip distribution and the site generated traffic volumes are provided in the attached TIAS.

## Traffic Operations Analysis

Based on capacity analysis provided in the January 2013 TIAS, the three study area intersections operated at LOS B or better under existing conditions. Under future conditions without the project (2017 No Build), the study area intersections are expected to operate at LOS C or better. The study area intersections would to continue to operate at these levels with the previous proposal of 121 units under 2017 Build conditions with the project in place. Overall, a comparison of the No-Build and Build operations indicates that the proposed development would not result in significant increases in delays at all the study intersections.

## PROPOSED BUILD PROGRAM

Since January 2013, the proposed build program has been substantially reduced and now includes 75 units. Access to the site would be provided directly from the Stop \& Shop parking lot. No access is proposed directly from Mystic Avenue. As noted above, no vehicle crashes occurred at the study area intersections based on previous research. As such, access to the site is expected to operate safely.

## Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

The rate at which any development generates traffic is dependent upon a number of factors such as size, location and concentration of surrounding developments. The number of vehicle-trips estimated to be generated by proposed project was estimated based on trip generation rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation ${ }^{1}$, 9th Edition. ITE land use code 220, Apartments, was determined to be the most appropriate land use code for this development.
Table 1 summarizes the projected trip generation associated with the proposed residential development. For comparison purposes, the trip generation projections associated with the previous proposal are also summarized. The trip generation calculations are provided in the Attachments.

Table 1
Project Trip Generation Summary

| Time Period | Previously Proposed <br> Site Generated Traffic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Currently Proposed <br> Site Generated Traffic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Daily (vpd) | 850 | 578 |
| Morning Peak Hour (vph) | 13 | 8 |
| $\quad$ Entering | $\underline{50}$ | $\underline{32}$ |
| Exiting | 63 | 40 |
| Total |  |  |
| Evening Peak Hour (vph) | 54 | 38 |
| Entering | $\underline{29}$ | $\underline{51}$ |
| Exiting | 83 |  |
| Total |  |  |

a ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition, based on 120 apartment units (LUC 220)
b ITE Trip Generation, 9th Edition, based on 75 apartment units (LUC 220)
vpd vehicles per day
vph vehicles per hour
As shown in Table 1, the proposed development is expected to generate substantially less traffic than the previous proposal. The project is estimated to generate about 578 new daily trips. Of this total, it is estimated that about 40 site-generated trips ( 8 enter/ 32 exit) will occur during the morning peak hour and about 59 trips ( 38 enter/ 21 exit) during the evening peak hour. It should be noted that the trip generation projections summarized in Table 1 do not include any trip credits for the proximity of the site to public transit routes. As mentioned previously, five different bus routes are available and there are 26 bus stops within a half mile of the site, making it very accessible to/from public transportation and reducing residents' dependence on automobiles. As such, the projections summarized in Table 1 very likely overstate the site-generated vehicular traffic resulting from the proposed project.

## CONCLUSIONS

As stated in this memorandum, the proposed residential development is projected to generate approximately 40 vehicles per hour ( 8 enter/ 32 exit) during the weekday morning peak hour and 59 vph (38 enter/21 exit) during the weekday evening peak hour. It is important to note that these projections are substantially lower than the projections used in the January 2013 TIAS because detailed traffic analyses in that study indicated that the study area intersections operate at acceptable levels of service under existing conditions and will continue to operate at similar levels in the 2017 No-Build and Build conditions. Accordingly, based on the proposed build program of 75 units, the site generated traffic will have minimal effect on traffic operations within the study area and that the existing transportation infrastructure can adequately accommodate the traffic volumes projected to be generated by the proposed residential development.
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## Attachments

- Trip Generation Projections
- January 2013 TIAS


## Trip Generation Projections

## ITE TRIP GENERATION WORKSHEET

(9th Edition, Updated 2012)

LANDUSE: Apartment
LANDUSE CODE: 220
Independent Variable --- Number of Units

JOB NAME: Somerville JOB NUMBER: 12109

Peak Hour Traffic on Adjacent Street: $\qquad$ 75 units

WEEKDAY


## SATURDAY

| RATES: | \# Studies | R^2 | Total Trip Ends |  |  | Independent Variable Range |  |  | Directional Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Low | High | Average | Low | High | Enter | Exit |
| DAILY | 15 | 0.85 | 6.39 | 2.84 | 8.40 | 175 | 65 | 360 | 50\% | 50\% |
| PEAK OF GENERATOR | 14 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 1.05 | 178 | 65 | 360 | Peak D Not | bution ilble |
| TRIPS: |  |  | BY AVERAGE |  |  | BY REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |  |  |
|  |  | DAILY | 479 | 240 | 240 | 333 | 166 | 166 |  |  |
| PEAK | K OF GEN | ATOR | 39 | NA | NA | 50 | NA | NA |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | SUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RATES: |  |  |  | Trip |  | Indep | ht Varia | nge | Dire <br> Distri | nal <br> tion |
|  | \# Studies | $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2$ | Average | Low | High | Average | Low | High | Enter | Exit |
| DAILY | 14 | 0.82 | 5.86 | 3.21 | 7.53 | 182 | 90 | 360 | 50\% | 50\% |
| PEAK OF GENERATOR | 13 | -- | 0.51 | 0.26 | 1.43 | 186 | 90 | 360 | Peak D Not | bution ilble |
| TRIPS: |  |  |  | AVER |  |  | GRES |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |  |  |
|  |  | DAILY | 440 | 240 | 240 | 380 | 190 | 190 |  |  |
| PEAK | K OF GEN | ATOR | 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |  |

## January 2013 TIAS

# Transportation <br> Land Development <br> Environmental <br> Services 

# VHB Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc 

Watertown, MA 02471-9151

## Memorandum

To: Al Ziedins
c/o CPC-T Holdings, LLC
1601 Trapelo Road, Suite 280
Waltham, Massachusetts 02451
Project No.: 12109.00

From: Matt Kealey, P.E., PTOE
Project Manager

Re: Somerville Residential

## INTRODUCTION

Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB), on behalf of CPC-T Holdings, LLC (Proponent), has conducted a traffic impact and access study for a proposed residential development to be located at the corner of Mystic Avenue and Cross Street East in Somerville, Massachusetts. The proposed development involves the construction of a total of 121 apartment units. One of these units will be furnished and will remain unoccupied as a model apartment. In addition, the project will include the redevelopment of The City of Somerville's Harris Park and the discontinuance and redevelopment of a portion of Cross Street East as open space in front of the project site. The roughly 1.6 acre site is comprised of three lots, including 0.35 acres of Harris Park, a portion of Cross Street East, and the 1.25 acre "Lot B", which was part of the Stop \& Shop Subdivision. Lot B, located within the existing Stop \& Shop Supermarket site, was previously approved for the development of $25,000 \mathrm{sf}$ of office space as part of the 2001 Planned Unit Development (PUD). Figure 1 shows the site locus map.

Access to the site is proposed via a single entrance-only driveway on Cross Street East, and an exit only driveway onto the Stop \& Shop Driveway. Under existing conditions, access to Cross Street East from Mystic Avenue is barricaded. Under proposed conditions, this barricade will be removed to allow entrance movements into the proposed building and access to public parking for Harris Park. As part of the project, the section of Cross Street East from just north of Pennsylvania Avenue to the proposed site driveway and public park access will be closed to vehicular traffic and converted to open space adjacent to Harris Park. This traffic study quantifies existing and projected future traffic conditions, and identifies potential impacts within the study area.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Evaluation of the transportation impacts associated with the proposed project requires a thorough understanding of the existing transportation system in the project study area. A complete inventory and evaluation of the existing transportation system in the project study area was conducted. The analysis of existing transportation conditions is based on the existing roadway network, roadway/intersection geometry, traffic control, existing daily and peak hour traffic volumes, traffic safety conditions, and existing public transportation. A description of existing conditions within the study area is presented below.
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Site Locus
Proposed Residential Development 60-70 Cross Street East
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Figure 1
January 28, 2013

## Study Area Intersections

The key roadways in the study area include Mystic Avenue, Cross Street East, and Garfield Avenue. The transportation study area is based on the expected level of traffic to be generated by the project and an understanding of the surrounding area roadways. The proposed study area includes the following intersections:

- Mystic Avenue at Stop \& Shop Driveway
- Garfield Avenue at Blakeley Avenue
- Proposed Site Driveway at Stop \& Shop Driveway

The following description of the study area intersections includes the physical characteristics, geometric conditions, and traffic control.

## Mystic Avenue at Stop \& Shop Driveway

Mystic Avenue intersects with the Stop \& Shop Driveway two form a two-legged T-type intersection. Mystic Avenue is one-way traveling southbound at this intersection. The Stop \& Shop Driveway provides right-turn in/right-turn out access at this intersection and is under STOP sign control.

## Garfield Avenue at Blakeley Avenue

Garfield Avenue intersects with Blakeley Avenue to form a four-legged unsignalized intersection under all-way STOP control. The section of roadway north of Blakeley Avenue provides access to Stop \& Shop and Mystic Avenue. To the south, Garfield Avenue provides access to residences and Broadway. Blakeley Avenue provides access to commercial uses to the east, with minimal traffic activity due to its dead end before intersecting with Cross Street. Blakeley Avenue provides access to McGrath Highway to the west.

## Proposed Site Driveway at Stop \& Shop Driveway

The proposed Site Driveway is currently in the vicinity of the access to the satellite parking area for Stop \& Shop. Based on traffic counts and observations, this parking area is not used heavily. Under proposed conditions, the residential building will have an egress-only driveway in this location.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

48-hour daily traffic volume data were collected on Mystic Avenue west of the Stop \& Shop Driveway on December 5 and 6, 2012 (Wednesday and Thursday) using automatic traffic recorders (ATR). These dates represent typical weekdays for traffic count purposes (non-holidays while schools are in session). The volumes are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1
Existing Traffic Volume Summary

|  |  |  | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Direction | ADT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Volume | $K$ Factor ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Dir. Dist. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Volume | K Factor | Dir. Dist. |
| Mystic Avenue west of Stop \& Shop Driveway | Total | 5,300 | 650 | 12.3\% | 100\% EB | 330 | 6.2\% | 100\% EB |


| Source: VHB based on automatic traffic recorder counts conducted in December 5 and 6, 2012. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| a. | Average Daily Traffic volume expressed in vehicles per day. |
| b. | Represents the percent of daily traffic that occurs during the peak hour. |
| c. | Directional distribution of peak hour traffic. |
| Note: | Peak hours do not necessarily coincide with the peak hours of turning movement counts. |

As shown in Table 1, Mystic Avenue, west of the Stop \& Shop Driveway, carries approximately 5,300 vehicles on a typical weekday with the peak hours accounting for 12.3 percent (morning peak hour) and 6.2 percent (evening peak hour) of the daily traffic flow.

Concurrent with the ATR counts, turning movements counts (TMCs) were conducted at each of the study area intersections in December 2012 during the weekday morning peak period from 7:00 AM to $9: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ and the evening peak period from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. The TMC data indicates that, within the study area, the weekday morning peak hour generally occurs between 8:00 AM and 9:00 AM and the weekday evening peak hour occurs between 5:00 PM and 6:00 PM. The TMC and ATR data are provided in the Attachments.

## Seasonal Variation

MassDOT historical traffic counts were reviewed to understand the seasonality of traffic count data collected in the month of December. The statewide data for seasonal variation of traffic volumes on urban arterials, collectors and rural arterials indicated that traffic counts in December are generally higher than the average month. In addition, specific traffic data from MassDOT Permanent Count Station 8098 (I-93 north of McGrath Highway) were reviewed. This data showed that historic volumes in early December, which is when the 2012 counts were conducted, were also higher than average conditions. Since the count data were found to be higher than annual average conditions, no further seasonal adjustment factors were applied to the data. Figure 2 illustrates the resulting 2012 weekday morning and evening peak hour Existing Conditions traffic volumes.

## Crash History

To identify motor vehicle crash trends in the project study area, the most current crash data for the study area intersections was obtained from MassDOT for the most recent three years available (2008 through 2010). A summary of the vehicle crash data is presented in Table 2.


Weekday Evening Peak Hour neg $=$ negligible
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Table 2
Vehicle Accident Summary (2008-2010)*

|  |  <br> Shop Driveway | Garfield Avenue at <br> Blakeley Ave. | Stop \& Shop Driveway at <br> Parking Lot Driveway |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 |  |  |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| MassDOT Crash Rates |  | 0 | 0 |

Source: Crash data was obtained from MassDOT.

* MassDOT Crash Rate worksheets are included in the Appendix.

As shown in Table 2, no crashes were reported at the study area intersections during the three-year study period.

## Public Transportation

Public transportation in the study area is provided by the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) via five bus routes that run near the site. The bus routes and the stops closest to the site are summarized below:

- Bus 80 - Arlington Center Lechmere Station via Medford Hillside
o Cross Street at Pearl Street
o Pearl Street at McGrath Highway
- Bus 89 - Clarendon Hill of Davis Square Sullivan Square Station via Broadway
o Broadway at Cross Street
o Broadway at Kensington Street
- Bus 90 - Davis Square Wellington Station via Sullivan Square Station and Assembly Mall
o Cross Street at Ellsworth Street
o Cross Street at Otis Street
- Bus 95 - West Medford Sullivan Square Station via Mystic Avenue
o Mystic Avenue at Kensington Avenue (Stop \& Shop)
- Bus 101 - Malden Center Station Sullivan Square Station via Salem St., Main St., and Broadway
o Broadway at Cross Street
o Broadway at Kensington Street
Within a half mile of the site, these five bus routes provide 26 bus stops. Collectively, the bus routes mentioned above provide riders with the ability to connect to the MBTA Green Line, Orange Line, and Red Line.


## FUTURE CONDITIONS

To determine future roadway operations, traffic volumes in the study area were projected to the year 2017 to reflect a five-year planning horizon from the existing conditions. The year 2017 was selected as the horizon year for the preparation of this study in conformance with EEA/EOTPW and MassDOT guidelines.

Traffic volumes on the roadway network under future conditions without the project (No-Build) are assumed to include all existing traffic, any new traffic due to regional and area background traffic growth, and traffic related to any specific nearby development projects expected to be completed by the 2017 horizon year. Anticipated traffic volumes from the proposed development were added to the No-Build traffic volumes to reflect future conditions with the project (Build).

## No-Build Conditions

No-Build traffic volumes were determined by considering existing traffic volumes and adding regional traffic growth and traffic from other nearby developments. Traffic growth is a function of expected new development, changes in demographics, and changes in auto usage and ownership in the region. Regional traffic growth is projected by examining historic traffic growth trends.

## Regional Traffic Growth

To determine trends in traffic growth in this area, VHB reviewed traffic available from MassDOT for the City of Somerville. Generally speaking, traffic volumes in the surrounding area have remained level or have decreased in recent years. However, in order to present a conservative analysis, a growth rate of one percent per year was applied to the traffic volumes within the study area.

## Planned/Approved Developments

In addition to accounting for background growth, the traffic associated with other planned/approved developments near the site was also considered. Based on information provided by the Somerville Planning Department, it was determined that four projects are planned and were considered as background development projects:

- Assembly Square: Approximately 5 million sf mixed-use redevelopment
- 625 McGrath Highway: 34 residential units
- 100 Fellsway West: 54 residential units
- Saint Polycarps Phase 3 (Mystic Ave./Butler Dr./Temple St.): 30 residential units

Given the limited amount of traffic generated by the three residential projects and the limited study area for the Proponent's proposed development, no specific volumes from these projects were added to the study area intersections. Regarding the Assembly Square project, site-generated traffic volumes were collected from the Traffic Impact and Access Study that was originally prepared in conjunction with the permitting of the Planned Unit Development (PUD) Preliminary Master Plan, which was approved by the Somerville Planning Board on December 14, 2006 and subsequently amended on August 5, 2010. The year 2017 No-Build traffic volume networks were developed by applying the one percent annual growth rate over the five-year study horizon to the existing volume networks and adding the Assembly Square site generated traffic volumes. Figure 3 shows the resulting 2017 No-Build peak hour traffic volume networks. Background traffic volumes associated with Assembly Square are provided in the Attachments.

## Build Conditions

Build traffic volumes were determined by estimating site-generated traffic volumes and distributing these volumes over the study area roadways. The site generated volumes include new trips due to the proposed residential development.

## Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

The rate at which any development generates traffic is dependent upon a number of factors such as size, location and concentration of surrounding developments. The number of vehicle-trips estimated to be generated by proposed project was estimated based on trip generation rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation¹, 9th Edition. ITE land use code 220, Apartments, was determined to be the most appropriate land use code for this development.

[^1]

Weekday Evening Peak Hour neg $=$ negligible
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Table 3 summarizes the projected trip generation associated with the proposed residential development. The trip generation calculations are provided in the Attachments.

Table 3
Project Trip Generation Summary


As shown in Table 3, the proposed development is estimated to generate about 850 new daily trips. Of this total, it is estimated that about 63 site-generated trips ( 13 enter/50 exit) will occur during the morning peak hour and about 83 trips ( 54 enter/ 29 exit) during the evening peak hour. It should be noted that the trip generation projections summarized in Table 3 do not include any trip credits for the proximity of the site to public transit routes. As mentioned previously, five different bus routes are available and there are 26 bus stops within a half mile of the site, making it very accessible to/from public transportation and reducing residents' dependence on automobiles. As such, the projections summarized in Table 3 very likely overstate the site-generated vehicular traffic resulting from the proposed project.

## Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of the traffic approaching and departing the site is a function of population densities, the location of employment, existing travel patterns, and the efficiency of the existing roadway system. Trips made from and to the proposed residential development during the peak hours are expected to be predominantly home-to-work and work-to-home trips in the morning and evening peak hours, respectively. Accordingly, the trip distribution for the proposed development was based on U.S. Census Journey-to-Work data for the City of Somerville, which was collected from the residential trip distribution evaluation completed for the Assembly Square PUD Traffic Impact and Access Study. Table 4 summarizes the trip distribution pattern used in the current analysis.

Table 4
Trip Distribution Summary

| Travel Route | Direction (tolfrom) | Percent of New Site Generated <br> Traffic Assigned to Route |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Broadway/McGrath Highway | South and West |  |
| I-93/McGrath Highway/Mystic Ave | North and West | $47 \%$ |
| I-93/Sullivan Square | South and East | $20 \%$ |
| Total |  | $33 \%$ |

The site-generated traffic volumes were assigned to the roadway network according to the distribution and travel patterns described above, and added to the No-Build traffic volumes to develop the peak hour Build traffic volume networks. The trip distribution and the site generated traffic volumes are provided in the Attachments. Figure 4 presents the resulting 2017 Build traffic volumes for the weekday morning and evening peak hours.

## TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

Measuring existing traffic volumes and projecting future traffic volumes quantifies traffic within the study area. To assess quality of flow, roadway capacity analyses were conducted with respect to existing conditions and projected No-Build and Build traffic volume conditions. Capacity analyses provide an indication of how well the roadway facilities serve the traffic demands placed on them. Calculated levels of service classify roadway operating conditions.

## Level of Service Criteria

Level of service (LOS) is the term used to denote the different operating conditions that occur on a given roadway segment under various traffic volume loads. It is a qualitative measure that considers a number of factors including roadway geometry, speed, travel delay, freedom to maneuver, and safety. Level of service provides an index to the operational qualities of a roadway segment or an intersection. Level of service designations range from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F representing the worst operating conditions.

For unsignalized intersections, the analysis assumes that traffic on the mainline is not affected by traffic on the side streets. The level of service is only determined for left-turns from the main street and all movements from the minor street. The overall level of service designation is for the most critical movement, which is most often the left-turn out of the side street. The evaluation criteria used to analyze area intersections and roadways are based on the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{2}$.

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

Intersection capacity analyses were conducted at the three intersections in the study area. Analyses were conducted for the 2012 Existing, 2017 No-Build and 2017 Build conditions. Table 5 summarizes the results of the capacity analyses. The analysis worksheets are included in the Attachments.

[^2]

Mystic Avenue
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Table 5
Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Location/Peak Hour | 2012 Existing |  |  | 2017 No-Build |  |  | 2017 Build |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Demand ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | LOS ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Demand | Delay | LOS | Demand | Delay | LOS |
| Mystic Ave at Stop \& Shop Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour | 30 | 14 | B | 32 | 16 | C | 36 | 16 | C |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour | 66 | 11 | B | 69 | 12 | B | 80 | 13 | B |
| Site Driveway at Stop \& Shop Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour | 1 | 9 | A | 1 | 9 | A | 50 | 12 | B |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour | 6 | 11 | B | 6 | 11 | B | 29 | 12 | B |
| Garfield Ave. at Blakeley Ave. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour | 192 | 8 | A | 202 | 8 | A | 250 | 9 | A |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour | 226 | 8 | A | 237 | 9 | A | 265 | 9 | A |

a. Demand of critical movement.
b. Average Total Delay (seconds/vehicle)
c. Level-of-service.
$+\quad$ Delay exceeds thresholds.
As shown in Table 5, the three study area intersections currently operate at LOS B or better under existing conditions. Under future conditions without the project (2017 No Build), the study area intersections are expected to operate at LOS C or better. The study area intersections are expected to continue to operate at these levels under 2017 Build conditions with the project in place. Overall, a comparison of the No-Build and Build operations indicates that the proposed development would not result in significant increases in delays at all the study intersections.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study evaluated the impacts associated with the proposed residential development to be located at the corner of Mystic Avenue and Cross Street East in Somerville, Massachusetts. The project will include the redevelopment of The City of Somerville's Harris Park and the discontinuance and redevelopment of a portion of Cross Street East as open space in front of the project site. The roughly 1.6 acre site is comprised of three lots, including 0.35 acres of Harris Park, a portion of Cross Street East, and the 1.25 acre "Lot B", which was part of the Stop \& Shop Subdivision. Lot B, located within the existing Stop \& Shop Supermarket site, was previously approved for the development of 25,000 sf of office space as part of the 2001 PUD.

Access to the site is proposed via a single entrance-only driveway on Cross Street East, and an exit only driveway onto the Stop \& Shop Driveway. Under existing conditions, access to Cross Street East from Mystic Avenue is barricaded. Under proposed conditions, this barricade will be removed to allow entrance movements into the proposed building and access to public parking for Harris Park. As part of the project, the section of Cross Street East from just north of Pennsylvania Avenue to the proposed site driveway and public park access will be closed to vehicular traffic and converted to open space adjacent to Harris Park.

The proposed residential development is projected to generate approximately 63 vehicles per hour (vph) (13 enter/50 exit) during the weekday morning peak hour and 83 vph ( 54 enter/29 exit) during the weekday evening peak hour. Detailed traffic analyses indicate that the study area intersections operate at acceptable levels of service under existing conditions and will continue to operate at similar levels in the 2017 No-Build and Build conditions. Overall, the study finds that the site generated traffic will have minimal effect on traffic operations within the study area and that the
existing transportation infrastructure can adequately accommodate the traffic volumes projected to be generated by the proposed residential development.

## Attachments

$>$ Traffic Count Data
$>$ Background Traffic Volumes
> Trip Generation Calculations
$>$ Trip Distribution
> Intersection Capacity Analysis

## Traffic Count Data

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Shop / Garfield Av
File Name : 12109001
Site Code : 12109001
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks

|  | Stop \& Shop Dr From North |  |  | Blakaley Ave From East |  |  | Garfield Ave From South |  |  | Blakaley Ave From West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 60 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 58 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 42 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 79 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 71 |
| Total | 1 | 155 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 268 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 53 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 67 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 63 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 48 |
| Total | 1 | 125 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 22 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 231 |
| Grand Total | 2 | 280 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 49 | 499 |
| Apprch \% | 0.6 | 80.2 | 19.2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 48.8 | 48.8 | 2.5 | 23.9 | 3 | 73.1 |  |
| Total \% | 0.4 | 56.1 | 13.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 9.8 |  |
| Cars | 2 | 280 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 38 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 45 | 489 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 100 | 95.5 | 66.7 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 97.4 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 91.8 | 98 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 8.2 | 2 |


|  | Stop \& Shop Dr From North |  |  |  | Blakaley Ave From East |  |  |  | Garfield Ave From South |  |  |  | Blakaley Ave From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 42 | 12 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 79 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 40 | 8 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 71 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 36 | 6 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 53 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 38 | 3 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 67 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 156 | 29 | 186 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 28 | 1 | 51 | 6 | 1 | 24 | 31 | 270 |
| \% App. Total | 0.5 | 83.9 | 15.6 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 43.1 | 54.9 | 2 |  | 19.4 | 3.2 | 77.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 929 | . 604 | . 861 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 611 | . 778 | . 250 | . 797 | . 500 | . 250 | . 750 | . 705 | . 854 |
| Cars | 1 | 156 | 28 | 185 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 27 | 1 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 265 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 100 | 96.6 | 99.5 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 100 | 96.4 | 100 | 98.0 | 100 | 0 | 95.8 | 93.5 | 98.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 100 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 1.9 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Shop / Garfield Av
E/W Street: Blakeley Avenue
City/State : Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109001
Site Code : 12109001
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  | 08:00 AM |  |  |  | 07:30 AM |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 1 | 34 | 7 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 39 | 9 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 42 | 12 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 11 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 40 | 8 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 155 | 36 | 192 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 28 | 1 | 51 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 37 |
| \% App. Total | 0.5 | 80.7 | 18.8 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 43.1 | 54.9 | 2 |  | 29.7 | 0 | 70.3 |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 923 | . 750 | . 889 | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 611 | . 778 | . 250 | . 797 | . 688 | . 000 | . 813 | . 841 |
| Cars | 1 | 155 | 35 | 191 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 27 | 1 | 50 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 37 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 100 | 97.2 | 99.5 | 66.7 | 0 | 0 | 66.7 | 100 | 96.4 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Accurate Counts

## 978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Shop / Garfield Av
File Name : 12109001
Site Code : 12109001
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Cars

|  | Stop \& Shop Dr From North |  |  | Blakaley Ave From East |  |  | Garfield Ave From South |  |  | Blakaley Ave From West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 76 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 37 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 73 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 42 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 94 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 72 |
| Total | 0 | 135 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 74 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 315 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 37 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 90 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 38 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 96 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 40 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 89 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 34 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 98 |
| Total | 1 | 149 | 76 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 92 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 373 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 284 | 133 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 166 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 49 | 688 |
| Apprch \% | 0.2 | 67.9 | 31.8 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 15.2 | 84.3 | 0.5 | 29 | 0 | 71 |  |
| Total \% | 0.1 | 41.3 | 19.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 24.1 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0 | 7.1 |  |


|  | Stop \& Shop Dr From North |  |  |  | Blakaley Ave From East |  |  |  | Garfield Ave From South |  |  |  | Blakaley Ave From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for E | Inte | section | Begins | at 05:00 P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 37 | 13 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 90 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 38 | 19 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 96 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 40 | 17 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 89 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 34 | 27 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 98 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 149 | 76 | 226 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 92 | 1 | 109 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 35 | 373 |
| \% App. Total | 0.4 | 65.9 | 33.6 |  | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0 |  | 14.7 | 84.4 | 0.9 |  | 31.4 | 0 | 68.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 931 | . 704 | . 926 | . 500 | . 250 | . 000 | . 375 | . 500 | . 885 | . 250 | . 879 | . 550 | . 000 | . 667 | . 625 | . 952 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Shop / Garfield Av
E/W Street: Blakeley Avenue
City/State : Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109001
Site Code : 12109001
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 05:00 PM |  |  |  | 04:15 PM |  |  |  | 05:00 PM |  |  |  | 04:30 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 1 | 37 | 13 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 38 | 19 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 40 | 17 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 14 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 34 | 27 | 61 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 149 | 76 | 226 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 92 | 1 | 109 | 11 | 0 | 32 | 43 |
| \% App. Total | 0.4 | 65.9 | 33.6 |  | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |  | 14.7 | 84.4 | 0.9 |  | 25.6 | 0 | 74.4 |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 931 | . 704 | . 926 | . 250 | . 250 | . 250 | . 375 | . 500 | . 885 | . 250 | . 879 | . 550 | . 000 | . 889 | . 768 |

## Accurate Counts <br> 978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Spop Driveway
File Name : 12109002
Site Code : 12109002
Start Date: 12/11/2012
Page No : 1
City/State: Somerville, MA

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks

|  | Mystic Ave From East |  | Stop \& Shop Dr From South |  | Mystic Ave From West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 132 | 50 | 188 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 120 | 51 | 175 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 142 | 49 | 205 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 136 | 36 | 178 |
| Total | 0 | 0 |  | 30 | 530 | 186 | 746 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 112 | 48 | 166 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 118 | 50 | 172 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 114 | 46 | 167 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 93 | 40 | 139 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 437 | 184 | 644 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 967 | 370 | 1390 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 72.3 | 27.7 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 69.6 | 26.6 |  |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 933 | 361 | 1345 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.2 | 96.5 | 97.6 | 96.8 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 9 | 45 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.2 |


|  | Mystic Ave <br> From East |  |  | Stop \& Shop Dr From South |  |  | Mystic Ave <br> From West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | App. Total | Left | Right | App. Total | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM

| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 132 | 50 | 182 | 188 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 120 | 51 | 171 | 175 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 142 | 49 | 191 | 205 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 136 | 36 | 172 | 178 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 530 | 186 | 716 | 746 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 74 | 26 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 536 | . 536 | . 933 | . 912 | . 937 | . 910 |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 515 | 183 | 698 | 727 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 97.2 | 98.4 | 97.5 | 97.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 19 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Spop Driveway
E/W Street: Mystic Avenue
City/State: Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109002
Site Code : 12109002
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 07:00 AM |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 132 | 50 | 182 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 120 | 51 | 171 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 142 | 49 | 191 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 136 | 36 | 172 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 530 | 186 | 716 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 74 | 26 |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 536 | . 536 | . 933 | . 912 | . 937 |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 515 | 183 | 698 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 97.2 | 98.4 | 97.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 18 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 |

## Accurate Counts <br> 978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Spop Driveway
File Name : 12109002
Site Code : 12109002
Start Date: 12/11/2012
Page No : 1
City/State: Somerville, MA

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks

|  | Mystic Ave <br> From East | Stop \& Shop Dr <br> From South |  | Mystic Ave <br> From West |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Right |


|  | Mystic Ave <br> From East |  |  | Stop \& Shop Dr From South |  |  | Mystic Ave <br> From West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | App. Total | Left | Right | App. Total | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM

| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 62 | 53 | 115 | 128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 61 | 46 | 107 | 123 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 72 | 46 | 118 | 139 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 71 | 67 | 138 | 152 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 64 | 266 | 212 | 478 | 542 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 |  | 1.6 | 98.4 |  | 55.6 | 44.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 750 | . 762 | . 924 | . 791 | . 866 | . 891 |
| Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 64 | 263 | 212 | 475 | 539 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98.9 | 100 | 99.4 | 99.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Stop \& Spop Driveway
E/W Street: Mystic Avenue
City/State : Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109002
Site Code : 12109002
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 04:45 PM |  |  | 04:15 PM |  |  | 04:30 PM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 62 | 53 | 115 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 61 | 46 | 107 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 72 | 46 | 118 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 71 | 67 | 138 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 70 | 71 | 266 | 212 | 478 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 100 |  | 1.4 | 98.6 |  | 55.6 | 44.4 |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 250 | . 833 | . 845 | . 924 | . 791 | . 866 |
| Cars | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 69 | 70 | 263 | 212 | 475 |
| \% Cars | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 98.9 | 100 | 99.4 |
| Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.6 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Internal to/from Mystic
E/W Street : Internal to/from S\&S
City/State : Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109003
Site Code : 12109003
Start Date: 12/11/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars - Trucks

|  | To Mystic From North |  |  | Stop \& Shop From East |  |  | To Blakeley From South |  |  | Stop \& Shop From West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Total | 1 | 177 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 221 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 49 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 61 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 26 |
| Total | 2 | 144 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 185 |
| Grand Total | 3 | 321 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 406 |
| Apprch \% | 0.9 | 92.2 | 6.9 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 62.5 | 0 | 37.5 |  |
| Total \% | 0.7 | 79.1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 7.9 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 1.5 |  |
| Cars | 3 | 317 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 31 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 401 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 98.8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 96.9 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 98.8 |
| Trucks | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 |


|  | To Mystic From North |  |  |  | Stop \& Shop From East |  |  |  | To Blakeley From South |  |  |  | Stop \& Shop From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for E | re Inte | ection | Begins | at 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 42 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 50 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 49 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 47 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 66 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 48 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 177 | 9 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 221 |
| \% App. Total | 0.5 | 94.7 | 4.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 26.9 | 73.1 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 903 | . 750 | . 899 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 583 | . 594 | . 000 | . 591 | . 438 | . 000 | . 000 | . 438 | . 837 |
| Cars | 1 | 175 | 9 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 219 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 98.9 | 100 | 98.9 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Internal to/from Mystic
E/W Street : Internal to/from S\&S
City/State : Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109003
Site Code : 12109003
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  | 07:15 AM |  |  |  | 08:00 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 42 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 49 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +30 mins. | 1 | 47 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 177 | 9 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| \% App. Total | 0.5 | 94.7 | 4.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 25 | 75 | 0 |  | 33.3 | 0 | 66.7 |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 903 | . 750 | . 899 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 583 | . 656 | . 000 | . 636 | . 250 | . 000 | . 750 | . 450 |
| Cars | 1 | 175 | 9 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| \% Cars | 100 | 98.9 | 100 | 98.9 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Trucks | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565
N/S Street : Internal to/from Mystic
File Name : 12109003
E/W Street : Internal to/from S\&S
Site Code : 12109003
City/State : Somerville, MA
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Weather : Cloudy
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Cars

|  | To Mystic From North |  |  | Stop \& Shop From East |  |  | To Blakeley From South |  |  | Stop \& Shop From West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 62 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 69 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 66 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 58 |
| Total | 1 | 156 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 255 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 74 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 51 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 83 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 72 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 58 |
| Total | 2 | 170 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 58 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 287 |
| Grand Total | 3 | 326 | 34 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 107 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 32 | 542 |
| Apprch \% | 0.8 | 89.8 | 9.4 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 13.7 | 86.3 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 | 66.7 |  |
| Total \% | 0.6 | 60.1 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 19.7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5.9 |  |


|  | To Mystic From North |  |  |  | Stop \& Shop From East |  |  |  | To Blakeley From South |  |  |  | Stop \& Shop From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 36 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 58 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 38 | 6 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 74 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 51 | 5 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 83 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 5 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 72 |
| Total Volume | 2 | 169 | 18 | 189 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 25 | 287 |
| \% App. Total | 1.1 | 89.4 | 9.5 |  | 75 | 0 | 25 |  | 13 | 87 | 0 |  | 28 | 0 | 72 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 828 | . 750 | . 829 | . 375 | . 000 | 250 | . 500 | . 563 | . 882 | . 000 | . 863 | . 583 | 000 | 750 | . 694 | . 864 |

## Accurate Counts

978-664-2565

N/S Street : Internal to/from Mystic
E/W Street : Internal to/from S\&S
City/State : Somerville, MA
Weather : Cloudy

File Name : 12109003
Site Code : 12109003
Start Date : 12/11/2012
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 04:30 PM |  |  |  | 05:00 PM |  |  |  | 04:45 PM |  |  |  | 04:15 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 1 | 48 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 36 | 2 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| +30 mins. | 1 | 38 | 6 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| +45 mins. | 1 | 51 | 5 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 173 | 15 | 191 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 26 |
| \% App. Total | 1.6 | 90.6 | 7.9 |  | 66.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |  | 13 | 87 | 0 |  | 26.9 | 0 | 73.1 |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 848 | . 625 | . 838 | . 500 | . 250 | . 250 | . 750 | . 563 | . 882 | . 000 | . 863 | . 583 | . 000 | . 792 | . 722 |

## Background Traffic Volumes



Mystic Avenue


Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
Assembly Square Background Project
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Proposed Residential Development
Somerville, Massachusetts

## Trip Generation Calculations

## ITE TRIP GENERATION WORKSHEET

(9th Edition, Updated 2012)

LANDUSE: Apartment
LANDUSE CODE: 220
Independent Variable --- Number of Units
JOB NAME: Peak Hour Traffic on Adjacent Street: 120 units

## JOB NUMBER:

## WEEKDAY



## SATURDAY

| RATES: | \# Studies | R^2 | Total Trip Ends |  |  | Independent Variable Range |  |  | Directional Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Low | High | Average | Low | High | Enter | Exit |
| DAILY | 15 | 0.85 | 6.39 | 2.84 | 8.40 | 175 | 65 | 360 | 50\% | 50\% |
| PEAK OF GENERATOR | 14 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 1.05 | 178 | 65 | 360 | Peak D Not | ibution ilble |
| TRIPS: |  |  | BY AVERAGE |  |  | BY REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |  |  |
|  |  | DAILY | 767 | 383 | 383 | 686 | 343 | 343 |  |  |
| PEA | K OF GEN | ATOR | 62 | NA | NA | 68 | NA | NA |  |  |


| SUNDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RATES: | \# Studies | R^2 | Total Trip Ends |  |  | Independent Variable Range |  |  | Directional Distribution |  |
|  |  |  | Average | Low | High | Average | Low | High | Enter | Exit |
| DAILY | 14 | 0.82 | 5.86 | 3.21 | 7.53 | 182 | 90 | 360 | 50\% | 50\% |
| PEAK OF GENERATOR | 13 | -- | 0.51 | 0.26 | 1.43 | 186 | 90 | 360 | Peak D Not | bution ilble |
| TRIPS: |  |  | BY AVERAGE |  |  | BY REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit |  |  |
|  |  | DAILY | 703 | 383 | 383 | 669 | 335 | 335 |  |  |
| PEA | K OF GEN | ATOR | 61 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |  |

## Trip Distribution



Mystic Avenue


Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
Trip Distribution
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Proposed Residential Development
Somerville, Massachusetts


Mystic Avenue


Weekday Evening Peak Hour Entering $=\mathbf{x x}$
Exiting $=(x x)$

Site Generated Trips
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Proposed Residential Development
Somerville, Massachusetts

## Intersection <br> Capacity Analysis



| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 177 | 9 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 197 | 10 |

## Pedestrians

Lane Width ( ft )
Walking Speed (ft/s)
Percent Blockage

$\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol
$\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol

| vCu, unblocked vol | 264 | 260 | 202 | 260 | 265 | 32 | 207 | 32 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 686 | 642 | 844 | 692 | 638 | 1047 | 1377 | 1586 |


| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total | 16 | 4 | 44 | 208 |
| Volume Left | 16 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| Volume Right | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| CSH | 686 | 1047 | 1377 | 1586 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.4 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Lane LOS | B | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.4 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS | B | A |  |  |

Approach LOS
B A

## Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 1.1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $22.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | $m$ | k | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | a | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 11 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 1 | , | 155 | 36 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 174 | 40 |
| Direction, Lane\# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 44 | 0 | 60 | 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 13 | 0 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 31 | 0 | 2 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.36 | 0.00 | 0.09 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 807 | 755 | 813 | 894 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.3 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.1\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | , | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | $k$ | \% | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | 「 |
| Volume (veh/h) | 242 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 252 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume |  |  | 478 |  | 365 | 365 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 478 |  | 365 | 365 |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 87 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1084 |  | 634 | 680 |


| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NE 1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Volume Total | 478 | 89 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 226 | 89 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 680 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.28 | 0.13 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | $36.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 170 | 18 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 72 | 0 | 2 | 205 | 22 |

## Pedestrians

Lane Width ( ft )
Walking Speed (ft/s)
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh) None None
Median type

Median storage veh)
Upstream signal (ft)
pX, platoon unblocked

| vC, conflicting volume | 311 | 309 | 216 | 334 | 320 | 72 | 227 | 72 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | 311 | 309 | 216 | 334 | 320 | 72 | 227 | 72 |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| tC, single (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 9.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 100 |
| tF (s) | s) | 100 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 1541 |


| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total | 36 | 8 | 80 | 229 |
| Volume Left | 11 | 5 | 9 | 2 |
| Volume Right | 25 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| cSH | 760 | 642 | 1354 | 1541 |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Contro Delay (s) | 10.0 | 10.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Lane LOS | A | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.0 | 10.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 |

Approach LOS A B

## Intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 1.5 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $20.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | $m$ | k | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | a | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 11 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 92 | 1 | 1 | 149 | 76 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 17 | 0 | 38 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 105 | 1 | 1 | 160 | 82 |
| Direction, Lane\# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 56 | 8 | 124 | 243 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 17 | 5 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 38 | 0 | 1 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.35 | 0.13 | 0.02 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 757 | 671 | 809 | 888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.1\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | * | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | k | \% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | F |
| Volume (veh/h) | 635 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 676 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume |  |  | 883 |  | 779 | 779 |
| VC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V C2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 883 |  | 779 | 779 |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 85 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 766 |  | 363 | 394 |


| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NE 1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Volume Total | 883 | 59 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 207 | 59 |  |  |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 394 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.52 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.0 | CU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | $55.3 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |



|  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | $m$ | k | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | a | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 12 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 1 | , | 163 | 38 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 14 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 183 | 43 |
| Direction, Lane\# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 46 | 0 | 63 | 227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 14 | 0 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 32 | 0 | 2 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.35 | 0.00 | 0.09 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 798 | 747 | 809 | 892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.6\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | * | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | $k$ | \% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  | F |
| Volume (veh/h) | 343 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 357 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume |  |  | 595 |  | 476 | 476 |
| VC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V C2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 595 |  | 476 | 476 |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 84 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 981 |  | 548 | 589 |


| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NE 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 595 | 93 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 238 | 93 |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 589 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.35 | 0.16 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 14 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 12.3 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 12.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  | 1.7 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | 42.9\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\cdots$ | * | $\lambda$ | m | k | $\checkmark$ | \% | $\nearrow$ | P | 4 | $\downarrow$ | K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 179 | 19 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 75 | 0 | 2 | 216 | 23 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 327 | 325 | 227 | 351 | 336 | 75 | 239 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 327 | 325 | 227 | 351 | 336 | 75 | 239 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 | 100 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 625 | 592 | 817 | 584 | 583 | 992 | 1340 |  |  | 1537 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 38 | 8 | 84 | 241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 11 | 5 | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 26 | 1 | 0 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 749 | 627 | 1340 | 1537 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.1 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.1 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 21.0\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | k | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | T | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 12 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 156 | 80 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 19 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 110 | 1 | 1 | 168 | 86 |
| Direction, Lane\# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 59 | 8 | 131 | 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 19 | 5 | 19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 40 | 0 | 1 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.34 | 0.13 | 0.02 | -0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 747 | 663 | 804 | 884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.7 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.2\% |  | ICU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | * | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | k | \% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NEL | NER |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |  |  | F |
| Volume (veh/h) | 646 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 687 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume |  |  | 895 |  | 791 | 791 |
| VC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V C2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 895 |  | 791 | 791 |
| tC , single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 83 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 758 |  | 357 | 388 |


| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NE 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 895 | 67 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 207 | 67 |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 388 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.53 | 0.17 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 0 | 15 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 16.2 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 16.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | 55.9\% | ICU Level of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |



|  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | $m$ | k | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | a | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 12 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 20 | 1 | , | 170 | 79 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 14 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 191 | 89 |
| Direction, Lane\# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 46 | 0 | 66 | 281 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 14 | 0 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 32 | 0 | 2 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.35 | 0.00 | 0.09 | -0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 772 | 723 | 800 | 909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.5 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 29.4\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\cdots$ | $\backslash$ | ) | \# | k | 厄 | \% | $\ngtr$ | a | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 0 | 19 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 19 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 |  | 26 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 23 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width ( ft ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 333 | 332 | 227 | 358 | 343 | 88 | 239 |  |  | 88 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 333 | 332 | 227 | 358 | 343 | 88 | 239 |  |  | 88 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t \mathrm{~F}$ (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 | 100 | 97 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 620 | 587 | 817 | 579 | 579 | 976 | 1340 |  |  | 1521 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 38 | 39 | 96 | 239 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 11 | 37 | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 26 | 1 | 0 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 747 | 587 | 1340 | 1521 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.1 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.1 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 21.6\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | k | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | T | 5 | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 12 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 107 | 1 | 1 | 160 | 104 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 19 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 122 | 1 | 1 | 172 | 112 |
| Direction, Lane\# | SE 1 | NW 1 | NE 1 | SW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 59 | 8 | 142 | 285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 19 | 5 | 19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 40 | 0 | 1 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.34 | 0.13 | 0.02 | -0.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 729 | 645 | 798 | 888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.8\% |  | ICU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, Ninth Edition, Washington, D.C., 2012.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, Ninth Edition, Washington, D.C., 2012.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, Washington, D.C., 2000.

